

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/014,886	TOYOSHIMA ET AL.
	Examiner Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	Art Unit 2827

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel. (3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey A. Wyand (Reg. 29,458). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2003

Time: 1.45 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant to have the clarification of amended claim 10. Claim 10 depends from claim 1, but in the remarks of paper filed on July 30, 2003, the applicant described claim 10 depending from claim 9. It is clarified by the applicant that it was a typographical error, and claim 10 is depending from claim 9.